Issue 27

August 1st 2016

Vive Charlie!

Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression

Vive Charlie was Created in Tribute to the Victims of the Charlie Hebdo Massacre



REST IN PEACE

Stephane Charbonnier
Jean Cabut
Bernard Verlhac
Georges Wolinski
Philippe Honore
Elsa Cayat
Bernard Maris
Michel Renaud
Mustapha Ourrad
Ahmed Merabet
Frederic Boisseau
Franck Brinsolaro

Byron Burgers plead ignorance in hiring Mickey Mouse, Batman and Keyser Söze

Banks 'could be forced to keep all your money'

Jeremy Corbyn announces plan to hope everything sorts itself out

Voters can fuck right off, confirms Labour

Slaughter of first-borns could save £50bn in Child Benefit, pledges Theresa May

Government to spend £350 million a week on Brexit negotiations

EU moves to reclassify bagpipes as instruments of torture

Theresa May calls for end to Modern Slavery in favour of more traditional slavery

Pokemon Go players devastated after realising it is the most pointless bullshit imaginable

Off you fuck, Queen tells Cameron

No Sleep For a Month

By Old Holborn

Award winning Columnist, Satirist, Comedian and Libertarian Legend.
Champion of Free Speech.

@Holbornlolz bastardoldholdorn.co.uk

I'm struggling to keep up – an entire month of relentless news, some good, some bad, some horrific.

Where do we start?

Ah, yes. The European Union. That grossly undemocratic steaming heap of Soviet collectivism designed to enslave a continent and beyond, modelled on the worst form of welfare Ponzi-ism, has been judged for what it really is by the majority of British people- and rightly rejected. On a personal note, I have skin in this game as my chosen hermitage sadly will remain within the EU (although to be frank, OldHolbornistan just grabs the money and pretty much ignores anything coming out of Brussels anyway). But it gives me great pleasure to watch the outright panic of those who, like the East German politburo in 1990, could simply not envisage any threat to their entitlement to power over the common citizen. I was in Germany when the wall came down and yes, a large percentage of the comparatively wealthy Communist middle classes expressed similar indignation that their jobs for life, free pensions and housing, education and twice yearly visits to the glorious spa resorts of the Ukraine or Baltic Sea could be under threat. They were quite happy to accept total and utter control over their miserable lives in return for a place in the queue for a family car that gave them the status they so despised of Westerners. Party first, comrades.

Well tough, Herr Juncker – it's Brexit, whether you like it or not. It won't be quick and it won't be easy – anything worth having never is – and like the East Germans before us, we'll just have to get used to standing on our own two feet again. I'm confident that trade deals will be negotiated, customs unions formed and tariffs with the rest of the planet abolished – and perhaps we can now get on with forging our own destiny based on democratic mandates from the people rather than diktats from EuroCentral Command. Whilst we gently sail off into the sunset, I expect to see much political unrest from the rest of Northern Europe as the egomaniacs of Brussels seek out new victims in the Balkans and beyond for their "one size fits all" snake oil fuckwittery. If the 20th century taught us anything, it's that centralised political economies usually end in war and poverty – a lesson sadly most Europeans seem unable and unwilling to learn.

Germany too is suddenly realising that most of the planet is not populated by people who think and act as Germans do. Polite, educated, efficient and civilised. Trouble is, like a house party on a well healed country estate, once the lower orders hear via Facebook that the food and drink is free and there are no bouncers on the door, just about anyone can and will turn up. Figures reported this morning show that one in four in Germany on benefits is now a foreigner and apart from the knife and axe wielding nutcases pretending to be fleeing violence in order to create their own in the ordered and structured society of Europe, the sheer economic pressure to support a large influx of people simply unaccustomed to European work ethics and civil standards means Merkel is going to throw around 70 years of hard work and Calvinist sacrifice down the drain in her misguided pursuit to save the world.

Europe is exploding with Islamic violence not because of politicians but because politicians cannot and will not act for fear of losing votes.

Huge swathes of the electorate are now allied to the very source of the problem – Islam – and loyalties run deep in the mindset of a 7th century religion. Upset the Imams and you will be cast out into the political wilderness for all eternity. Pander to the Imams and you will soon realise that the bright eyed young chick you are raising in your nest is every bit as malevolent as the deliberately abandoned offspring of a cuckoo.

I would suggest that the event horizon has now been passed and there is simply nothing more to be done. The Balkanisation of Europe is under way and will result in massive political and civil upheaval. Do I think we'll all be living under Sharia law or banned from drinking beer and eating bacon? Of course not – most of the Balkans quite happily brews their own alcohol whilst their daughters wear bikinis on the beaches – but we're going to have accept, as we were forced to under the EU, that lurking in the background, will be a small army of authoritarian busybodies, ready to denounce any liberties we may enjoy as “haram”. And until the long awaited reformation of Islam arrives, we're going to have to pull on our deep seated history of mistrust of anything religious ruling over us to suppress the desire of others to inflict their beliefs on all us.

IMPORTANT ANNOUNCEMENT

It is clear from the complaints and death threats we receive, some of our readers are delicate little snowflakes who find our content triggering. Our advice of simply choosing NOT TO READ Vive Charlie seems to have gone unheeded as the terminally offended seem to love getting offended and triggered. If you are one of these sad little worms, who insist on reading Vive Charlie by choice, even though it upsets you, then we have some great news for you – on each page you will find a blue snowflake, simply click on this snowflake and you will immediately be taken to the safe space we have created for you. If for some reason this is not acceptable to you, then you can always just fuck off.

The Muslamic Council of Britain nominate Fireman Sam for their prestigious "Islamophobe of the Year" award.

Fireman Sam has come under fire recently and has been fire fighting allegations of islamophobia after it was revealed a recent episode featured scenes of Elvis treading and slipping on a page of the Quran.

Abdul Abdulla of the Muslamic Council of Britain claims Fireman Sam and the people behind the islamophobic cartoon have a long history of islamophobia dating back to when one of its creator was detained at a UK airport for daring to comment about a muslim lady wearing a burka. Abdul openly admitted he has been looking for an excuse to attack the Fireman Sam team since this incident and this crusade was made more urgent following the beheading of a French Catholic priest. He said "It was a great day to find this case of islamophobia in the Fireman Sam cartoon as it was a perfect way to focus on islamophobia rather than the beheading of a frail old defenceless man in a French church".

The Muslamic Council of Britain has been working hard to come up with a candidate for islamophobe of the year to detract from this incident in Normandy that clearly had nothing to do with Islam.

Spot the difference

Mohammed & Jesus

Welcome to our new fun game Spot the Difference. All you have to do is spot one difference between the two characters and send your answer to us on a stamped, self-addressed envelope and we'll report you to the UK Home Office, as pointing out "any perceived difference" between people is now a hate crime.

Jihadis: Who Are Their Targets?

By Douglas Murray
Published by Gatestone Institute

Associate Director of the Henry Jackson Society, author and media commentator

@DouglasKMurray henryjacksonsociety.org

It is now 18 months since two gunmen forced their way into the offices of Charlie Hebdo in Paris and set about murdering the staff of that magazine. The gunmen from al-Qaeda in Yemen called for the editor -- "Charb" -- by name before murdering him and most of his colleagues. In an interview shortly before his death, taking into account the threat to his life which entailed constant security protection, Stéphane Charbonnier had said, "I prefer to die standing than live on my knees." Charb did die standing, in the office of the magazine he edited.

In the 18 months since the attack on Charlie Hebdo and the massive demonstrations in solidarity on the streets of Paris, France has suffered a terrible set of further terrorist assaults The ISIS attack (which killed 130 people) last November on the Bataclan Theatre and other sites around Paris and the attack (which killed 84 people) in Nice on July 14 are the deadliest and most prominent. But other acts of terror -- including the murder last month in their home of two members of the police, carried out by a man pledging allegiance to ISIS --have gone on and almost become normal.

Yesterday's murder of an 84-year old priest, Father Jacques Hamel, while he was saying mass is shocking even by the standards of France during this period. Two men claiming allegiance to the Islamic State (ISIS) entered the church and ritually murdered the priest by slitting his throat. A second victim is currently struggling to stay alive. It is hard to see any end in this sight of this horror, but these two atrocities across an 18-month gap are worth considering alongside each other -- not least because the reaction to them in France and outside may contain the tiniest glimmer of hope in a very dark time.

One of the striking things about the outrage after the murders at Charlie Hebdo was that it very nearly united France. There were those, including people who had been the victims of Charlie Hebdo's satire in the past, who were not able to lionise them. But across mainstream society in France, there was near unanimity around the idea that the magazine and its rude, irreverent and specifically anti-clerical style of satire was uniquely French.

No one seemed surprised that so many people around the world had missed the point of the magazine -- people across the Muslim world in particular. The publication was recognised as a particularly French publication which as such stood for more than itself. In the days and weeks after January 7, 2015. the sense of the Republic itself having been attacked was especially strong.

The attacks did of course also give rise to a flush of virtual solidarity. The "Je Suis Charlie" ('I am Charlie') tag prevailed not only in demonstrations but also across Twitter and other social media. In the 18 months since then, the hashtag became repetitively and wearily wheeled out: "Je Suis Paris", "Je Suis Bruxelles" and so on after every attack. Perhaps some people learned subsequently that solidarity on social media -- while having the advantage of making people feel slightly better -- has no effect whatsoever on diminishing or ending the terror. Meanwhile, one of the most important acts of actual solidarity was sorely missing.

The Pope's intervention into the debate after the Charlie Hebdo attack was one of the most regrettable of the whole period. Speaking to journalists on his plane in the week after the attack, Pope Francis signalled to a Vatican official beside him and said, "If my good friend Dr Gasparri says a curse word against my mother, he can expect a punch in the nose." Pretending to throw a punch, the Pope then said: "It's normal. You cannot provoke. You cannot insult the faith of others. You cannot make fun of the faith of others."

An enemy willing to slaughter the most rollicking secularists and the most devout priest, both in their places of work, is an enemy with the entirety of French civilisation and culture in its sights. Left: Father Jacques Hamel, murdered in Rouen, France on July 26, 2016, by an Islamic jihadist. Right: Stéphane Charbonnier, the editor and publisher of Charlie Hebdo, who was murdered in Paris on January 7, 2015, along with many of his colleagues, by Islamic jihadists.

Charb and his colleagues -- living and dead -- would have expected nothing more from the Pope whose church had been such a constant target of their pens. Nevertheless, it was a painful intervention. Not only was the representative of a religion whose founder is known for peace now talking the language of violence, but the remark suggested an irreconcilable divide between the religious and the secular in an age of Islamic violence. Where alliances should have been easy, they looked suddenly fractious and impossible.

The brutal slaughter of Father Jacques Hamel opens up this question from the other end. What "provocation" had Father Hamel provided? If any good can come from an act of such savagery. it would be in the possibility of healing such a rift. Obviously the Pope has condemned the killing of a priest of his own church. But many other anti-clerical figures in France may well pause before the enormity of what the jihadists have once again done.

You do not have to be religious to experience revulsion at such an act being done to a man of God in the act of celebrating the Eucharist. The usual debates in French life over the role of the church and its role in the state may be able at least to pause during this period, raising the possibility of a more suitable and lengthy pause in hostilities.

In these two attacks, eighteen months apart -- on a magazine office in Paris and a church in Rouen -- the nature of the enemy we all face stands clearly before us. An enemy willing to slaughter the most rollicking secularists and the most devout priest, both in their places of work, is an enemy with the entirety of French civilisation and culture in its sights. It is an enemy -- extremist Islam -- clearly intent not on some kind of tributary offering or suit for peace, but rather an enemy which seeks its opponent's total and utter destruction. Should this not be the moment for the entirety of one of the greatest cultures on earth to unite as one, turn on this common enemy and destroy it first, in the name of civilisation?

European Commission launches a new, heavily funded research project to develop a new brand of super soap.

The European Commission yesterday launched a heavily funded project aimed at solving a mystery, which has plagued the guilty conscience since Shakespearean times.

Angela Merkel is currently undergoing top secret therapy after it became apparent she is suffering from insomnia and wanders the halls of her residence late at night mumbling “Whose hands are these? Ha! They’re plucking out my eyes. Will all the water in the ocean wash this blood from my hands? No, instead my hands will stain the seas scarlet, turning the green waters red.”

Despite undergoing tests with several top level EU doctors and being prescribed several different courses of medical treatment, no cure for her symptoms have been found. Secret tests have been going on for some weeks now with a range of solvents and cleaning products, none of which have been successful.

In an attempt the remedy the situation the European Commission is reaching out the EU’s finest scientists to come up with a new brand of super soap which will cleanse her conscience and finally wash the blood from her hands.

Erdogan the goat fucking President of Turkey has shut down 15 universities, 934 schools, 104 foundations, 109 dormitories, 35 hospitals, 1125 associations, 19 unions, 18 TV channels, 3 news wires, 23 radio channels, 45 newspapers and 15 news magazines with 300 killed and 6,000 arrested, including around 50 journalists. Looks like Erdogan and Turkey are trying to satisfy the entry requirements for the Islamic State, not the EU...

You can't please all the people all of the time, Theresa, so stop trying. And if you let the Scots and Irish decide when we can Brexit it will NEVER happen.

By Katie Hopkins

Columnist @MailOnline. LBC presenter. Fired Lord Sugar. Gained & lost 3.5 stones proving fat people are lazy.

@KTHopkins katiehopkins.co.uk

As any good parent knows, first you ask your kids to get ready. Second, you ask them again. Third, you start shouting random words like 'socks', 'toilet', 'shoes'. And finally you throw something across the kitchen, yelling, 'Right, that's it — I'm going!' and take two minutes in the car to calm down, sweating from the nose, remembering why you hate perfect-pants Mary Berry.

Watching people try to achieve this politely is almost comical. And so it is proving with Theresa May. She is supposed to be getting us out of the door with Brexit.

But instead she has made the terrific blunder of announcing she will not trigger Article 50 until all devolved nations are in agreement. She wants Scotland and Northern Ireland to buy in. She doesn't even seem very keen on upsetting the Irish Republic. Or the French. Or the Germans. Or the Italians. Or... well you get the picture.

At home she's hoping for an agreed UK approach and objectives before she triggers Article 50.

Good luck with that.

That's the equivalent of telling the kids they are not getting in the car until they've had enough time playing Pokémon Go or messaging their mates. It's never going to happen.

They don't want to leave, so they are going to make our life about as hard as you never knew it could get.

All Grey May has managed is to put power back in the pocket of the Poison Dwarf from the North, making her think she has a veto over our democratic decision to Leave. And to get Martin McGuinness's trigger fingers twitching about the border between Ireland and Northern Ireland.

The Scottish and Irish leaders have puffed out their chests with nationalist pride and started sounding off about the deals they are going to make.

The Dwarf from the North says 'Remain means Remain' and that Scotland will continue to look at all avenues to remain inside the EU. She says the 62 per cent who voted Remain have given her the mandate to act, and a second referendum is likely.

Shouting up from her position at nipple-height to Theresa May, she said she was 'pleased Theresa was willing to consider any positions the Scottish government forwards to secure an ongoing relationship with the EU'.

Perhaps the Scottish Not Prime Minister should know her place.

Behind the bravado is the uncomfortable truth that Scotland's deficit is supported by UK fiscal transfers worth more than 5 per cent of GDP. We are paying for Scotland's debt. And their healthcare and free university tuition.

And so far nobody in the EU seems very keen on adding another basket case to its extensive collection.

Secondly, for all her hot air and bluster, a second referendum on independence would be a much harder sell this time around.

My Scottish friends despair at the thought. With EU membership uncertain, North Sea oil prices plummeting and their own currency plan destroyed by Brexit, Sturgeon would be setting out a stall of rotten fish eggs. No one is going to buy.

British trade makes up 29 per cent of Scotland's GDP. Phillip Hammond said he could not envisage a scenario in which Scotland had a different relationship with Europe than the rest of the UK.

Scotland cannot be in the EU if the rest of the UK is out.

A new Hadrian's Wall is the very last thing Sturgeon needs.

Theresa May would have been better placed to stay in Westminster, ask someone to pass down the telephone to the Dwarf from the North, and tell her to pick a Union rather than scuttling up there on Day 1 of her premiership.

May should tell her to pick the United Kingdom and pipe down with her nonsense.

Ireland is no better. Theresa May is now promising to engage with Northern Ireland's devolved administrations on negotiations out of the EU.

Fixated on the possibility of a reinstated border between the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland, Deputy First Minister Martin McGuiness wants to have a vote on Irish reunification, and for a unified Ireland to remain in the EU.

Meanwhile, in Dublin the Leader of the Republic of Ireland, Mr Kenny has been banging on about that fact Ireland is the only EU member to state that shares a land border with the UK, and is also threatening a future vote on Irish unity in the wake of Brexit.

Concerned about the impact of Leave on the Common Travel Area, and the impact of a border on trade, travel and the Northern Ireland Peace Process, Ireland is fixating on the border in the same way the Scottish are fixated on derailing any plans coming out of Westminster.

Maybe they still haven't got over the fact the Great Britain was insulated from the ruinous policies of the EU during the last financial crises.

While the economic interdependency of a shared currency brought Ireland to its knees and the utter humiliation of having their entire ball-breaking budget set by Brussels in return for a national bail-out.

Perhaps Mr Kenny has forgotten our trade with Ireland is worth almost £1 billion a week, supporting 400,000 jobs across our islands?

In truth there is a strong will to preserve the Common Travel Area between north and south and there have never been real border controls between the Republic and UK citizens.

But there is a simple answer for Northern Ireland. Pick a side. Continue your relationship with your biggest trade partner, the UK, or work out your own border control with the rest of the EU.

It's a similar choice for Dublin. If you want to keep an open border with the North, not to mention freedom of movement across the Irish Sea by boat and plane, you will have to impose your own restrictions on travel to the EU.

If you want choices, you need to own your problems.

The Chairman of the Northern Ireland CBI has said 'whatever the actual outcomes of the negotiations, our members recognise the need to get on with it.'

And that's my feeling about Brexit too. Get on with it.

It seems to me that in trying to please everyone, Theresa May is pleasing no one. We have the wee Krankie in the North telling us she has power over our decision-making. And ex-IRA Man waving his weaponry about, threatening the peace process.

Meanwhile a dithering Grey May looks for some monumental fudge which will dismay Brexiteers.

Keeping the Union intact and preserving open borders between Ireland and Northern Ireland may yet water Brexit down to something unacceptable to those who voted for it.

Europe may be trying to hand us a deal which gives us a temporary pause on freedom of movement and protects our single access to the single market. This is not what we voted for.

It might keep the Union together, as a compromise which might please Northern Ireland and Scotland, but would guarantee disappointment for Remainers and Brexiteers.

Theresa May said 'Brexit means Brexit'. But her continued reluctance to trigger Article 50, to appease Scotland and Northern Ireland, and her lack of appetite for a timetable to leave are saying something very different.

The kids are running circles around her. At this rate she will never get out the door.

Scotland needs to pick a Union. And both Irelands need to pick which trading partner matters more.

When push comes to shove they will stick with the devil they know.

Government spends £200 BILLION on Trident chewing gum due to colossal admin cock-up.

New Prime Minister Theresa May has been forced to announce that Britain has spent over £200 billion pounds on Trident chewing gum due to an almighty admin cock-up.

The red-faced PM held a press conference earlier this morning to announce that, due to contract error, Britain has spent a huge fee on Trident chewing gum rather than the Trident defense system it had intended to spend the money on.

In the press conference, Theresa May admitted a low-level intern had been placed in charge of renewing the contract and had 'gotten their wires crossed'.

However May tried to put a positive spin on things: 'Many members of the British public didn't want us to renew Trident in the first place, so this a real win for them as we won't be able to afford it now.'

The £200 billion contract has been signed by Cadbury's, makers of Trident chewing gum, and is iron-clad in nature.

'Why would we give back the money? Britain should think itself lucky. It now owns the biggest defense system against bad breath in the world,' said Cadbury's chief executive Todd Stitzer.

Labour MPs were quick to pull out their knives and stick them directly into the back of Jeremy Corbyn.

'I don't know how, but Corbyn is behind this. I just know it. He's left Britain wide open to destruction,' said leadership rival Owen Smith.

Is Modern Europe Enduring The Same Scourge As Ancient India?

by Amit Nangia

Blogger and Journalist for Huffington Post India

@amitnangia06 huffingtonpost.in

Europe is rediscovering terror. Paris, Brussels, Nice, Cologne and Munich. In all of this, one discerns a faint sense of history repeating itself, but under a different sky.

Think about this. You're back a thousand years or so in time, in India.

Life's good. There's fertile land, there is abundance. There are cultured people well versed in the arts; there is music, dance, philosophy, literature, learning, architecture and openness towards life. Civilization is at its zenith. It's a golden age in many ways.

There's the Kama Sutra. People don't shy away from enjoying the pleasures of life. Sexual mores aren't too strict. Women aren't sequestered away under the veil and enjoy plenty of freedom. They participate equally in various aspects of life.

There's war every now and then, but there are some rules, some honour even. Some major wars from the past have left scars on the people's psyche and made everyone wary of the misery that battle brings. Peace and humanity are the major driving forces, not hunger for territory.

And there's wealth, an immense amount of it. All this has made you a little soft. You've forgotten that while you espouse elevated philosophies of living, there are others who don't think like you; they believe in a very different system. You've neglected the need to defend yourself.

You're living and enjoying life, oblivious to the ominous clouds gathering over the horizon that will change your land's destiny forever.

And then you experience terror. The terror that comes from facing a ferocious foe, determined to sack, loot, rape, pillage and destroy.

You witness the slaughter and the carnage. Your compatriots killed, taken captive, enslaved. Your homes, universities, institutions and places of worship destroyed, your wealth plundered.

Women turned into commodities. You're helpless and unable to comprehend, let alone counter, the onslaught.

At first these are terrifying raids. The raiders come, loot and go away. Then they realize that you are unable and unwilling to fight back and they can rule over you. So they return, to stay and impose their beliefs.

History shows us that terrorism is not a new phenomenon. Just the methods of inflicting it have changed. India has faced it squarely and suffered from it immensely for more than a millennium.

Certain conditions of India a thousand years ago could very well describe today's Europe. History tends to repeat itself if its lessons are not learned.

Human nature is such that one can never neglect one's defences. The survival of a civilization requires strength and if it cannot protect itself, there will be predators -- lurking, waiting to violently impose their ways. Ancient India experienced this and paid a heavy price, and now modern Europe may just be getting a sense of it.

Having fostered and enjoyed a vibrant liberalism, it seems today that Europe may have failed to anticipate the clear and present threats to its values and way of life.

videos of the week

Pat Condell

The Invasion Of Europe

Paul Joseph Watson

Terror in Germany:
The Truth They Hide

Paul Joseph Watson

The Truth About Milo

Louder With Crowder

Painting Muhammad
with Bob Ross

FEMINAZIS

I don't care what you think Fatima, you are marrying your uncle Abdul and that's the end of it. You're just a woman, you don't get a say in these matters

Errr, excuse me. What did you say you misogynistic penis carrying sack of shit?

Super Feminazi Anita Sarkeesian here. Did someone mention misogyny? What seems to be the problem?

This misogynistic penis carrying sack of shit thinks he can get away with speaking to a woman like she's his property

FEMINAZIS

Look here you pair of infidel whores, stop being so islamophobic, this is absolutely none of business
Are you a Muslim?

Nathan Lean here, Islamophobia Boy. Did someone mention islamophobia?

FEMINAZIS

As if by magic Super Feminazi has vanished

Islamophobia has a higher victim status than feminism, its like kryptonite to third wave feminists

POOF!

She'll be off now too. She'll soon find a white male privileged to persecute. They're at the bottom of the food chan when it comes to victim status

Hey you, scientist guy. Yes you with the sexist shirt on, come here whilst I tear you a new arsehole you misogynistic penis carrying sack of shit

Feminists accuse Subway of sexism after female worker 'forced' to make male customer a sandwich

A feminist group has called for a mass boycott of Subway due to its 'sexist' policy of having female workers make sandwiches for male customers.

The group, Feminists For Society, has accused the sandwich giant of sexism after one of its members personally witnessed a male customer ask a female employee to make him a sandwich. An act that she carried out as if it was her duty.

Belle Endsleigh, member of FFS and witness to the incident, said: 'What kind of society do we live in where women are slaves to men? Does society condemn Subway? No, Subway is one of the most popular fast food restaurants in the world. It makes me sick.'

Before the incident Belle was a frequent visitor to her local Subway.

'There's a cute boy who works there and I like asking him for six inches of heaven, it was a cute little joke between us. He wasn't on his usual shift though, he must have been ill or something. Instead it was two female works and one sexist pig of a male manager. A man was in the queue ahead of me, he refused to let me go before him, and he ordered one of the women to make him a sandwich. At that point I threw my hands up and walked out.'

When Belle reported to incident to her group, Feminists For Society backed her 100%.

'We are calling for all decent people to boycott Subway. This sort of harassment and flagrant sexism cannot be tolerated in a modern society. We won't be satisfied until Subway no longer employs woman except for in non-sandwich making capacities,' said FFS leader Tracy Bagg.

FFS will picket the Subway in question this coming weekend. 'As long as the weather is nice,' said Tracy.

Subway has refused to comment on the incident at this time.

The Greatest Threat to Free Speech is Each Other

By Mike Cernovich

Author of Gorilla Mindset, lawyer, and free speech activist.

@Cernovich dangerandplay.com

There's a tired canard often spread by lawyers who should know better that goes something like this, "Only the government can censor. Censorship cannot come from private parties. If other people get you fired from a job for speech unrelated to your job, that's a social consequence of speech."

Let's put aside that the dictionary defines censorship far more broadly, and people even recognize that we self-censor. If censorship only happened via government edict, self-censorship wouldn't exist.

Carried to its logical conclusion, those lawyers would have to concede that the massacre at Charlie Hebdo was not censorship. After all, the gun-wielding terrorists were private citizens. Yet the same circle jerk of "free speech lawyers" who claim that ruining a person's livelihood based on a single Tweet isn't censorship took to Twitter to virtue signal their support of Charlie Hebdo.

Some of these very same people who stood in support of Charlie Hebdo had previously joined Internet hate mobs. As part of these hate mobs, they helped get private citizens fired for off-the-job speech. Many of their victims have been unable to find work, as employers would rather avoid the hassle of hiring people who carry baggage.

While a murder is at the far end of the extreme of censorship, taking food off of another person's table and destroying a career certainly isn't praiseworthy and is likely the sign of sociopathy.

Today the government, at least in the United States, isn't actively censoring people. But if you make one wrong Tweet, your life could be over.

Often these Tweets are taken out of context, as happened to Justice Sacco, a nobody with 100 Twitter followers.

A hipster with an ironic sense of humor, Sacco tweeted to her friends before boarding a 14 hour flight (where she did not have wifi), "Going to Africa. Hope I don't get AIDS. Just kidding. I'm white!"

Sacco's intent was to mock what she believed was a lack of concern that whites had for AIDS in Africa. Internet hate mobs do not care about intent or context, as their rage must be fed.

A hashtag was formed, #HasJustineLandedYet. The same male feminists who claim that women face harassment online joined the mob in glee. Sacco was threatened with rape and other acts of violence. When she finally landed in Africa, the Internet had done its work. Sacco had no job.

Sacco began seeking gainful employment again, only to find it a challenge as Gawker acted as a sort of Hate Mob HQ. Gawker blogger Sam Biddle would stalk Sacco. When she sought employment, he'd announce to his hate filled readers that it was time to make some calls to employers.

Sacco is one of many people who lost their livelihoods due to the Internet hate mob, and I've been meeting with many people who have been censored by their own citizens for my forthcoming documentary, Silenced. Our War on Free Speech.

Silenced caught attention from my audience because I mentioned it was "out" war on free speech rather than "the" war. The government, I explained, is not the biggest threat to free speech. We are the biggest threat to free speech.

While it's true that the UK, Australia, France, Germany, and even Canada censors conservations about Islamic terrorism and migrant rape mobs, we fortunately do not have that problem in the U.S.

In the United States, the government mostly leaves us alone. Our own fellow citizens are the ones who try destroying your life over a few errant or distasteful tweets.

What can be done to end this threat to free speech? One legal remedy would be to protect political viewpoints under anti-discrimination laws.

While this may sound radical, consider this: An employer can't fire a person for being a Muslim or talking about Islam, unless the behavior impacts his job. Why then can an employer fire an employee for sharing hit political views?

Ideological views should recive the same legal protections as religious views do. If a person's off-the-job speech does not have an impact on his job, his employer should not be able to fire him for expressing his views.

More broadly, we as a people need to become more tolerant of diverse viewpoints. We should do this not only because it's morally proper, but to save ourselves. As long as we are arguing with one another, we aren't shooting each other. When you silence an entire group of people, they don't stop speaking. They move to the underground, where they are surrounded only by other radicals.

One of America's finest jurists, Judge Learned Hand, observed, "Liberty lies in the hearts of men and women; when it dies there, no constitution, no law, no court can save it."

The same is true of free speech. No constitutional amendment or government edict supporting free speech will have effect if we the people do not respect free speech.

It's up to us – all of us - to stop silencing one another.



Available at amazon

Gorilla Mindset is an entire system that, when coupled with specific mindset shifts and habits, will change the way you think, feel and live your life.

Applying Gorilla Mindset to your life (make no mistake, this is a book you must apply to your life) will improve your health and fitness, lead to more money and career advancement, and help you have deeper, more meaningful relationships (or more casual ones; it's your choice).

Your thinking will become clear. You will have more focus. You will know exactly what steps to take to change your life.

More importantly, you will be able to troubleshoot your own life – what psychologists call auto-regulate – when something goes wrong.

We are pleased to continue our association with Ben Garrison. Ben has kindly agreed to provide illustrations for future issues of Vive Charlie. Ben is a self funded “Rogue Cartoonist” and all his contact details are below. Take a look at his website and support him if you can on Patreon. His main illustration in Vive Charlie issue 27 is entitled “Hillary's WIKI-LEAK”.

@GrrrGraphics grrrgraphics.com patreon/grrrgraphics

Are you Triggered by Cartoon Satire? Sad!

By Ben Garrison, Rogue Cartoonist

@GrrrGraphics

grrrgraphics.com

patreon/grrrgraphics

I drew a cartoon that was less than complimentary toward the First Lady. Let's get a few things straight: It wasn't racist. It was a humorous poke at a public figure. Liberals have praised her for her muscular figure and her 'super ripped arms.' Some, like Joan Rivers, have suggested she was a man. Well, transgenders are in vogue right now, right? So what's the problem?

Michelle Obama is supported by taxpayer dollars and shares lavish vacations with her husband–all on the public dime. She forced a disastrous lunch program on the nation's students. Now many are unable to even bring their own lunches. A sack lunch with a peanut butter sandwich and an apple were staples in my day. Now kids are forced to eat what the gubmint tells them–and forces parents to pay for it.

It was also a test of sorts. I've frequently been called racist for drawing cartoons featuring Obama. When someone who is not liberal draws African Americans–look out. That's not allowed. Well, it needs to be allowed because they're public figures and collect large salaries from taxpayers. I've been told my cartoons are 'hateful' and 'unhelpful' as well as the expected "I hate your guts why don't you go kill yourself" fare. Too many Americans are now being silenced by social justice warriors (SJWs) and politically correct scolds who derive weird emotional satisfaction by strutting what they think is their 'moral superiority.' This kind of behavior is on the increase and it is stifling free speech to such a degree that even comedians don't feel comfortable making jokes on college campuses.

Even the 'Daily Mail' jumped on the bandwagon and posted a story about me to generate anti-Trump outrage. They didn't even bother to contact me for a comment.

I've seen very many outrageous cartoons drawn by liberal cartoonists that were critical of

Condoleezza Rice, Clarence Thomas, and even Dr. Ben Carson. Some were overtly racist, but those cartoonists receive a pass. Why? Because they're progressive/liberal artists.

Nearly everything written or said these days can 'trigger' someone and throw them into a self-righteous rage. They are the most delicate of hothouses flowers. Maybe my cartoon will help toughen them up a bit. Unlike Bill Maher's show, "Politically Incorrect," my cartoon really WAS 'incorrect' whereas his program is, in actuality, mostly filled with political correctness. (He does rail against radical Islam which is refreshing). Maher routinely calls Trump an orangutan and says he has a 'micro penis.' Can you imagine a conservative talk show host saying similar things about a black politician? He'd be off the air in a heartbeat.

The outrage over one silly cartoon will soon blow over. It will be replaced by some new outrage and the SJW crowd will again go on the warpath. Hmmm…I guess 'warpath' is a politically incorrect word to use. Too frickin' bad! If you SJWs don't like it, you can go back to your safe spaces.

MASS ISLAMIC IMMIGRATION

By Shazia Hobbs

Author of The Gori's Daughter. Published by Ringwood Publishing.

@HobbsShazia shaziahobbs.com

You know mass immigration is an important issue because you aren't allowed to talk about it, you get called names if you do talk about it, and those in favour of it will never back down no matter what facts you give them or how rational your arguments.

Talking about Islam is virtually impossible, talking about immigration is virtually impossible and so talking specifically about mass Islamic immigration is something of a suicide mission. A frank discussion of mass Islamic immigration is absolutely guaranteed to generate smears of racist, fascist and bigot in order to silence that discussion. The reason this tactic is employed so often is very simple: because it really works. Europeans have been successfully denied a discussion of immigration for decades.

In an ideal world, perhaps it might be wonderful to have open borders with everyone travelling as they please and causing no harm to each other. But only a fool believes we live in an ideal world, and only a fool believes we can achieve Utopia by opening our borders to all.

As the daughter of an immigrant who arrived in the UK from Pakistan in the 60s, many might assume I would be for open borders - yet I am all for closing our borders temporarily. My father arrived in the UK to work, not to claim benefits. He started off as a bus conductor, working his way up to driving the buses and eventually he had his own businesses, five of them.

When my father arrived in the UK, along with many other men and women of South Asian origin, there was plenty of work, there was also enough housing, they didn't rely on benefits and they weren't a strain on the NHS. Yes, there was plenty of racism and ignorance towards the migrants but, crucially, they generally worked hard and integrated, and they were slowly accepted. It was a two-way street: migrants generally proved themselves capable of integrating and working, and racists stopped being racist. In historical terms, this was done in the blink of an eye and is testament to the decency of humanity.

We now know that the mass migration that occurred in the 50s and 60s and the years that followed weren't without problems. We now have laws, which protect those at risk of being forced into marriage or at risk of being killed in the name of honour. It only took the Government thirty odd years to realise that cultures and traditions that were normal 'back home' should not be tolerated in an advanced democracy, and no longer ignored under the political correctness excuse. We still have a long way to go in changing people's attitudes and protecting the most vulnerable in our society.

Allowing Muslims to make their home in the UK, whether escaping war and persecution or looking for a better life with free housing, benefits and medical care, hasn't been without problems. There will be few people who have not heard by now of the term FGM - female genital mutilation - where girls as young as two and three years old are forcibly held down to have their vaginas mutilated, their clitoris sliced off and labia sewn shut, allowing only a tiny hole for them to urinate and bleed from. This barbaric practice is carried out purely to destroy a female's sexuality and to ensure she gets no sexual pleasure, and so once again a new law has been passed and it is now a crime to carry out FGM. Even though we know it happens, even though we hear stories from survivors of this cruelty nobody has been successfully prosecuted. Not one single person. The fear of being labelled racist for not understanding people's cultures is always stronger than the desire to protect those who are at risk from being mutilated.

There is another "tradition" that is only now coming to light that few people in the UK will have heard of, which is called "breast ironing". It is as

The Gori's Daughter

By Shazia Hobbs

"The Gori's Daughter is quite possibly the most compelling novel based on a true story you will ever read" **Dr. Wanette Tuinstra**

The Gori's Daughter is the fictional story of Aisha, a young mixed race girl, daughter of a Kashmiri father and a Glaswegian mother moved into the household as mistress alongside the Muslim wife and the children from both relationships.

Her whole life is a constant struggle against the rejection and hostility her background generates in both Glasgow's white and Pakistani communities.

Its uncompromising exploration of the realities rather than the myths of the race relations with in modern Scotland is likely to have an explosive impact, challenging as it does cosy assumptions on both sides.



Available at amazon

horrendous as it sounds. It is another barbaric way of controlling females: their breasts are ironed to stop them growing, or sometimes they are cut off completely. Those that carry out this evil say it is to protect the girls from being raped. Perhaps we should also remove young girls' eyes in case they go blind one day.

Britain is now aware of the Muslim grooming gangs who have been allowed to rape young underage girls with de facto legal impunity. In the majority of these cases the perpetrators are of Pakistani origin, or to be precise men from a Mirpuri background, and recently we have also heard of gangs of Somalian men who have also been convicted of these horrific crimes. The names Rochdale, Bradford, Oxford, Rotherham and Aylesbury have become destinations on a dystopian Monopoly board of towns that have suffered a perfect storm of Islam, rape, political correctness, appeasement and cover up. Vulnerable, underage girls being raped by multiple men while those responsible looked the other way for fear of being labelled racist. These are the towns and cities in the UK we have heard about and no doubt that there will be many more.

Germany opened its borders and welcomed refugees, and it had no plan on how to deal with those that arrived. The vast majority of these 'refugees' are young, able men, escaping poverty and looking to make a better life in a country where they will be housed and given benefits. It is not racist nor is it bigoted to demand that these economic migrants be returned to their country of origin. These migrants make it difficult to help the genuine refugees, the ones fleeing war and terrors that we could not even begin to imagine. The children who have been born into war and who have known nothing but the sounds of bombs and gunfire. These are the genuine refugees we should be helping, in a controlled and responsible manner - not the armies of economic migrants.

Sex crimes and rape are on the increase in Europe at an alarming rate. Sweden has almost become the rape capital of the world, second only behind South Africa. The most disturbing thing I have witnessed happening in Sweden are the pictures that appeared on Twitter, screenshots from young Swedish girls' Instagram accounts, before they turned their settings to private. By young girls I mean girls as young as eight or nine years old, children, being cuddled by grown migrant men. The children's parents weren't in these pictures. Perhaps the parents are unaware or the girls are from broken homes, neglected and unloved. These poor little girls see absolutely nothing wrong in what these grown men are doing to them, and for many it may be the first time someone has paid them any sort of attention, which they falsely believe is love. Men old enough to be fathers of the girls they are posing with in the pictures and the world is silent, apart from a little outrage on Twitter, not enough for it to be trending or for it to go viral. Sweden is experiencing its very own Rotherham and the world can see it, yet nothing is being done, again because that dreaded R- word puts such Kryptonite fear in to people. No, not Rape, but Racist.

There was an immediate, sustained, moral and political panic that we had to house the occupants of the Middle East and Africa on the basis they must all be "refugees", but there is no equivalent moral or political panic that we must do something about mass rape committed by Muslims. On the contrary, the only panic I can see is that we must cover it up or make excuses for it: perhaps the rapists didn't speak good enough English or good German, or perhaps it's because of austerity? The surprisingly mild weather we have been having? Perhaps the food in Rotherham is not to their liking? Cover up after cover up and children continue to be raped by adults in our country so why should we now care that the children of Sweden are being raped? And so we stand by and do nothing. Do nothing for fear of being labelled racist.

We know integration by Muslims into many parts of the UK has failed and that ghettos have been created, where the community leaders rule their kingdoms and elected councillors have to listen to what they say, or else. Racist. Bigot. The usual words used to get their own ways, allowing Sharia law to prosper and flourish, unchallenged. If we heard only feel good stories about the large percentage of Muslim women who use Sharia Councils then it might be tempting to say it's cultural and that it harms no-one and so why put an end to them. However we know for a fact that the Sharia Councils operating in the UK do not believe in gender equality and that women are penalised purely for being women. We hear about the women who have been divorced by

their husbands only to find out their marriage was legal only under Islamic law and not British, thus leaving the women with no marriage rights whatsoever. We hear about the women whose children have been taken from them in cases of divorce with all rights "granted" by the Sharia Councils to the fathers (the Sharia Councils have no right to do this), regardless of the father's suitability. Muslim men who have appointed themselves rulers of their own little empires mostly operate sharia Councils across towns and cities in the UK.

The UK has many problems as it is. There are many that are homeless, either living on the streets or in homeless units waiting to be re-housed. There are children who will spend their entire childhood in care, never fortunate enough to be fostered by a loving family, leaving care at 18 years old to fend for themselves. The strain on the NHS is also another problem and with mass immigration it will only get worse, you would be a fool to think it wouldn't.

We should be controlling who is allowed in, and we most certainly should not be labelled racist for wanting to do so. Young able men arriving purely for economic reasons should be sent back immediately, to deter future migrants from making the journey. These men are not fleeing war or persecution, they are bringing it.

We have already seen what mass Islamic immigration has brought to Europe: it has brought absolute carnage because Muslims have proved themselves unwilling to give up Sharia. The great European experiment has failed. This is the hand Europe has been dealt and the hand it must play. There is only one thing left to do: it is now time for Europe to give up Islamic immigration. If it doesn't, there will be no Europe.

My Human Right to Leave Islam

I am a British Pakistani ex-Muslim. I have had to protect myself from threats of violence from my family who regard me as an apostate. Two of my friends were almost killed for leaving Islam. Please watch my appearance on BBC News here. Rules state only UK Citizens can sign this petition. I need 10,000 signatures to qualify for the Prime Minister to see this petition. 100,000 signatures are required for this issue to be debated in Parliament. Sign this petition to defend the rights of people in the United Kingdom to change their religion or belief without fear of persecution from any opposing individual or community.

SPEECH WARS

Episode 23. Following his success at importing his army in Europe, Moe the Merciless sets about his Jihad of striking fear into the hearts of the infidels in accordance with the Quran. The Dhimmi Alliance has hatched an ingenious plan to counter his Jihad with their fearsome array of weaponry. Armed with denial, tolerance, love, prays, candlelit vigils and hashtags the Dhimmi Alliance are determined to prevail, no matter how many of their subjects are sacrificed...



After a series of Islamic terrorist attacks in just a few days, pressure is mounting on the Dhimmi Alliance to review their open borders policy to stop the flow of terrorists into Europe, rumours are though they will sacrifice 1000s of civilians rather than admit they made a mistake

Vive Charlie TV

Due to our great success striking fear into the hearts of the infidels I'm expecting the Dhimmi Alliance to tighten up their borders and security so we need to be vigilant

YES BOSS

I propose we all make public statements to stop this escalation of islamophobia. There's been a report in the UK of mean comments to a Muslim on a train, which if left unchecked will lead to another Nazi Europe within a couple of weeks

Did you hear the one about the Dhimmi Alliance? After a spate of Jihad attacks Merkel vowed to keep the borders open, Hollande told the French accept it as the norm, the Pope said all religions wanted peace and Obama deployed a crack team of social networkers to come up with yet more hashtags!
The funny thing is, he's not joking, it's all true
I know ha ha ha ha

Come on lads, pile in. The idiots at the Dhimmi Alliance think closing their borders is what we want and shutting them would be playing into our hands. We can't look a gift horse in the mouth, these idiots are unbelievable. Allan Ackbar!!!



WHAT DOES ISLAMIC SCRIPTURE REALLY SAY ABOUT WOMEN?

By Aayat Bak

A Muslim, not by choice

@AayatBak aayatbak.com

There can be few areas where Islam is so out of touch with modern values as in the views expressed in the Qur'an and hadiths on the role and worth of women. Women who follow the Muslim religion have to accept some staggeringly unflattering and insulting statements about themselves. Some of these statements will be profiled below.

A common Muslim response to any attention being focused on this part of the message of Islam is to state that Muhammad at least elevated the status of women in comparison to Arab tribal traditions. This may or may not be the case, but stating that early Muslim women were better off than their non-Muslim counterparts misses the point entirely. We are not in the 7th century anymore, and the real question that we should be asking is whether the Qur'an's teaching on women can lead to just and equitable modern societies wherein women can take their full place and live contented and fulfilling lives? It has to be said that this kind of outcome is highly unlikely in light of the following statements:

Disobedient women should be beaten: Qur'an 4:34 is very clear on what should happen to women who disobey their husbands: "Men" are in charge of women by [right of] what Allah has given one over the other and what they spend [for maintenance] from their wealth. So righteous women are devoutly obedient, guarding in [the husband's] absence what Allah would have them guard. But those [wives] from whom you fear arrogance - [first] advise them; [then if they persist], forsake them in bed; and [finally], strike them." Some translations render this text as 'beat them lightly' but this has no basis whatsoever in the original Arabic where it simply states that they should be beaten or struck (wa-id'ribūhunna). The fact is that the Qur'an provides Muslim men with divine authority to commit acts of domestic violence.

Women may be held in sexual slavery: Qur'an 4:23 provides Muslim men with a list of women with whom sexual relations are prohibited. It contains the following very significant exception: "Also (prohibited are) women already married, except those whom your right hands possess." "Whom your right hands possess" is a reference to women captured in war or enslaved in some other way. These women are seen as fair game when it comes to the sexual desires of their 'owners'.

Women are like fields to be ploughed. Qur'an 2:223 contains the following statement: "Your wives are as a tilth unto you; so approach your tilth when or how ye will" The word translated as 'tilth' (Arabic: harthun) refers to a field that is being cultivated. Just as a field has no say in the frequency or method of cultivation, so women have to simply submit to the sexual desires of their husbands (both in terms of frequency and method). The clear implication "of this verse, namely that a Muslim woman should not refuse to have sex with her husband, is confirmed in several sound hadiths.

The legal status of women is below that of men in several areas. Whenever comment is made about some of the challenges of being a Muslim woman, the response is almost invariably that Islam actually lifted the status of women compared to the norms of the Arabian Peninsula. Upon closer examination, it quickly becomes clear that the supposedly improved status of women still makes them inferior to men. For a start, a woman can only inherit half of what a man can (Qur'an 4:111). Much worse is the fact that the testimony of a woman in an Islamic court is worth only half of that of a man. When dealing with the rules for giving evidence, the Qur'an gives the following instruction: "...get two witnesses, out of your own men, and if there are not two men, then a man and two women, such

as ye choose, for witnesses, so that if one of them errs, the other can remind her." (Qur'an 2:282) This verse has been turned into a principle of shari'a law. The implications are staggering and explain, among other things, why women who have been raped so often end up being prosecuted in Muslim societies. When it is the word of the man against the woman, the man's evidence will always trump hers.

Women are ritually impure: In a breathtaking insult aimed at women Qur'an 4:43 includes them in a list of things that can render a man ritually impure. This means that if a man did his pre-prayer ablutions and touched a woman afterwards it will have to be done again before he can pray: "O ye who believe! Approach not prayers with a mind befogged, until ye can understand all that ye say,- nor in a state of ceremonial impurity (Except when travelling on the road), until after washing your whole body. If ye are ill, or on a journey, or one of you cometh from offices of nature, or ye have been in contact with women, and ye find no water, then take for yourselves clean sand or earth, and rub therewith your faces and hands." So merely having been in contact with a woman renders a man's prayers unacceptable in the eyes of Allah! The reluctance of many Muslim men to shake the hands of women in social or business settings, therefore, has nothing to do with 'modesty' as is often claimed but rather springs from a desire not to be defiled by them.

As if these incredibly misogynistic statements from the Qur'an are not bad enough, the hadith collections go even further. All of the following statements have been drawn from Sahih Bukhari, the most widely respected hadith collection in the Muslim world:

Muhammad called women stupid and impious: There are no fewer than three hadiths in which Muhammad makes it clear that women are "deficient in intelligence and religion." Here is the most famous one: "Once Allah's Apostle went out to the Musalla (to offer the prayer) o 'Id-al-Adha or Al-Fitr prayer. Then he passed by the women and said, "O women! Give alms, as I have seen that the majority of the dwellers of Hell-fire were you (women)." They asked, "Why is it so, O Allah's Apostle ?" He replied, "You curse frequently and are ungrateful to your husbands. I have not seen anyone more deficient in intelligence and religion than you. A cautious sensible man could be led astray by some of you." The women asked, "O Allah's Apostle! What is deficient in our intelligence and religion?" He said, "Is not the evidence of two women equal to the witness of one man?" They replied in the affirmative. He said, "This is the deficiency in her intelligence. Isn't it true that a woman can neither pray nor fast during her menses?" The women replied in the affirmative. He said, "This is the deficiency in her religion." (Sahih Bukhari 1:6:301) Here we have the so-called apostle of Allah making it clear to his female followers that he has very little respect for them and that he considers their practice of Islam to be inferior.

Ungrateful women make up the majority of the population of hell. Muhammad claimed to have visited heaven and hell during his so-called 'night journey'. Upon his return he declared: "I was shown the Hell-fire and that the majority of its dwellers were women who were ungrateful." It was asked, "Do they disbelieve in Allah?" (or are they ungrateful to Allah?) He replied, "They are ungrateful to their husbands and are ungrateful for the favors and the good (charitable deeds) done to them. If you have always been good (benevolent) to one of them and then she sees something in you (not of her liking), she will say, 'I have never received any good from you." (Sahih Bukhari 1:2:28) A Muslim woman contemplating eternity will, in light of this hadith, have to do so with a deep realization that the decks are stacked against her by the fact that her prophet declared that if she makes it to paradise she will be part of a minority among the supposedly more virtuous men who followed Islam.

Muhammad declared women to be the most harmful thing on earth: Is it possible to communicate a lower opinion of women than the one Muhammad expressed in the following hadith? It is related from Usama ibn Zayd that the Prophet, may Allah bless him and grant him peace, said, "I have not left after me a trial more harmful to men than women." (Sahih Bukhari 7:62:33)

Wives who refuse to have sex with their husbands will get into deep spiritual trouble:

Muhammad declared: “If a man calls his wife to his bed and she refuses, and he spends the night angry with her, the angels curse her until morning.” (Sahih Bukhari 54:460) This hadith may seem slightly comical, but its implications are devastating. It establishes the principle that a wife has no right to refuse sex with her husband. The concept of marital rape is, therefore, totally alien to Islam. Women have no legal protection against husbands who are allowed to simply take what they believe to be rightfully theirs, namely the bodies of their wives.

These verses from the Qur’an and hadiths clearly illustrate the fact that Islam is spectacularly bad news for the women of the world and that wherever it is established; women will be forced to live lives of subjugation and inferiority. This will not merely be because the men in their communities feel that this is a good thing but primarily because these men will believe that Allah and his ‘prophet’ commanded them to treat women in this way.

Joseph Al-Qaeda @JihadistJoe

Muslamic women living in the West are now defying Muslamic tradition and getting jobs

Fatima is a part-time easel

SPECIAL OFFER

If you're not brave enough to criticise Islam because you're scared of being labelled a racist islamophobic Nazi bigot, then simply buy this reprogrammable t-shirt. You'll be able to virtue signal to all your fellow cowards, by showing solidarity with the victims of Muslamic barbarism without ever having to deal with the root cause of the atrocity - Quran inspired supremacist tyranny.

Are You Respecting Islam Enough Yet?

By Basima Faysal

Little is known of the magnificent and famous Basima Faysal

@BasimaFaysal

There are different kinds of respect. There's the respect you'd give a wise, benevolent person. Then, there's the respect you'd give a madman wielding an axe. You see where I'm going with this, don't you?

There are governments of the free world pandering to Islam, praising Islam, discouraging criticism of Islam. And it's not because they think Islam is wise and benevolent. They see the axe.

It's no news that what Islam authoritatively asserts is, in its totality, combatively against the interests of non-Muslims and human rights. Yes, there are benevolent reformers who want Islam to not say what Islam says. Authoritative Islam says they are apostates – traitors - for whom the penalty should be death. Betting your life on Islam undoing Islam during this Islamic resurgence is a very long shot.

We, the people who believe in human rights and secular liberal democracy, are in a negotiation with Islam, which is the antithesis of those things we believe in. So, let's think. How much of what we believe in do we want to trade away, in order to accommodate their opposite? What do we get in return, in the long run? Are we trading our legacy wisely? Let me tell you a folk tale.

Nancy Nice of the Arctic

Once upon a time, Nancy Nice was driving her dog sled through the ice-cold Arctic tundra. There was a long drive ahead. Nancy had a huge package of supplies on board. Nancy had been given these hard-won supplies to sustain the life of the folk at a remote outpost of civilisation. These people were relying on her for survival. She was proud to have been entrusted this task.

Imagine Nancy's fear when she turned to see a wolf, not 50 yards behind her, and keeping pace with her sled. She had a spear to defend herself, but a confrontation would be risky, and wolves were protected. What should she do? Cleverly, Nancy cut open the supply package and fished out a slab of meat. It wouldn't be missed. It would satisfy the wolf, and might encourage the wolf's friendly sentiments.

Nancy threw out the meat. It worked. The wolf stopped and soon vanished into the distance, as the sled went on. Nancy congratulated herself and imagined how impressed the folk at the outpost would be when they heard.

But it was not 15 minutes later that a howl rang out. Nancy turned to see three running wolves gaining on the sled. Well, that was no problem. More wolves simply meant more meat. Nancy threw out an armload of supplies. It worked. But the wolves were encouraged and learning, now.

Hours later, Nancy whipped her exhausted and frantic dogs through the gates of the outpost. She was seconds ahead of three hundred wolves collected from the tundra. The folk at the outpost slammed the gates shut behind her.

They were astonished. They never had a problem with wolves before, and they had never even seen so many wolves. Now, they were outnumbered by wolves.

A wolf had got in, and had to be fought to the death. More wolves still circled and howled, and clawed and chewed at the gates.

“Welcome, Nancy Nice! We are starving!” said the folk. “What did you bring for us? Where are the supplies from civilisation?”

Of course, there were no supplies.

Nancy cried, “I threw away the things from civilisation, to satisfy the wolves. I didn't have enough supplies to keep them at bay! How did you get all this way, with all those wolves?”

“We got all this way because we never, ever fed any wolves.”

PI-GAMES.com

FUN GAMES WITH A STING

MIGRATION MADNESS



An Encomium of Milo Yiannopoulos

By James Rodkey

Free thinker, world traveler, language teacher, sarcastic

@jamesrodkey jamesrodkey.com

Milo Yiannopoulos. He is many things to many people: darling to the alt-right, scourge to SJWs, receptacle to black wangs. Transcendently polarizing, he is adored and vituperated in seemingly equal measure.

Nearly everything that Milo says and does is, by careful design, outlandishly offensive. He has elevated online trolling to an art form, so successfully so that Twitter has found it necessary on now two occasions to single him out for draconian and undeserved punishment. All of this only to discover that Milo is the Hydra, and Twitter is no Hercules: after he was stripped of his verification credential, his popularity soared on social media; after a permanent ban, he's now practically a household name. Since the ban, anyone literate enough to string words together has either rushed to his aid or gleefully celebrated his demise.

Yet whatever you think of Milo Yiannopoulos, he is necessary.

If you hadn't heard his name before this week and all you know about him is that he was banned from Twitter for a spat with actress Leslie Jones, take a moment to explore the rich tapestry that is his journalistic body of work before writing him off as an insensitive, misogynistic, fat-shaming xenophobe. For, you see, behind the bleach-blonde facade of targeted abuse and flame-fanning, Milo exudes an indispensable commodity: well-argued unpopular opinion.

I don't agree with Milo about very much. I'll admit to being endlessly entertained by his outrageous slanders and take-downs of feminism, for example, but our views are decidedly more divergent than concordant. I need only point to our respective views on the candidacy of Donald Trump (or "Daddy," as Milo disturbingly refers to him) as a case in point. Yet it is this dissenting worldview, this contradiction of many of my intuitions and values (he famously enjoys trolling atheists) that earns my attention. Milo Yiannopoulos is what so many people on the liberal (and regressive) left desperately need - an echo-chamber-shattering contrarian - though few seem to realize it.

We all benefit from a fecund and cosmopolitan marketplace of ideas. There is no societal progress without discussion, without disagreement. There is no personal growth without exploring the possibility that our most deeply-held and strongly-believed views are categorically mistaken. Yet in our online communities we stake out little agreeable enclaves of safe space and populate them exclusively with others amenable to our worldviews. We slap labels onto other people as an excuse not to have to interact with them intellectually or consider any viewpoints diametrically opposed to our own.

A glance around any social media platform will reveal the grim consequences of this behavior - a world in which narrative has supplanted reality.

This is a world that needs Milo Yiannopoulos. A world that needs someone who will embark on a "Most Dangerous Faggot" tour and go to college campuses and declare confidently that "feminism harms women," or "Black Lives Matter is a terrorist organization," or "being gay is a choice," or "the gender wage gap is a myth," or "Angela Merkel's immigration policy is a disaster," or "free speech is under assault on college campuses." Not because these statements are necessarily true, but because you'll never even consider whether they're true unless someone forces you to. Is the gender wage gap real? How do you know? Have you ever really looked into it? Could you hold your own in a discussion with someone in possession of a mountain of facts and figures, or would you end up sounding like an imbecile?

There are three ways to handle Milo Yiannopoulos. The first is to ban him from voicing his opinions. This is what many college campuses have done and what Twitter has most recently and most famously done. This generally results in a massive increase in his visibility and popularity, which is precisely what happens whenever anyone bans anything. The second is to dismiss his views outright without consideration or to ignore him. This is a possible option, although Milo is not easily ignored. The third (and in my view the most productive) is to engage with him and his ideas. If you think he's entirely backwards about something, try to prove him wrong. He has even done you the favor of articulating exactly how to beat him. It's not easy - it requires remaining calm, removing emotions from the discussion, waiting for your turn to speak, and doing your homework. This is how discussion takes place in civilized society, and if Milo Yiannopoulos is the only person left who understands this, then we need to let him speak.

Besides, just look at him. #FreeMilo



The Muslim Brotherhood for Transgender Paedophiles and Terrorists where out in force this week protesting because they were being thrown out of the girls toilets in many primary schools simply for having beards. This is a clear case of islamophobic discrimination and must be stopped…

The Orlando Massacre was yet more evidence, if any was needed, that the root cause Muslamic terrorism is obviously Western foreign policy and nothing at all to do with the ideology of Islam. All we have to do is completely ignore the teachings of Muhammed, which orders the death of gays.

The EU is Coming to Close Down Your Free Speech

By Douglas Murray
Published by Gatestone Institute

Associate Director of the Henry Jackson Society, author and media commentator

@DouglasKMurray henryjacksonsociety.org

It is nine months since Angela Merkel and Mark Zuckerberg tried to solve Europe's migrant crisis. Of course having caused the migrant crisis by announcing the doors of Europe as open to the entire third-world, Angela Merkel particularly would have been in a good position actually to try to solve this crisis.

But the German Chancellor was not interested in the reinforcement of Europe's external borders, the re-erection of its internal borders, the institution of a workable asylum vetting system and the repatriation of people who had lied to gain entry into Europe. Instead, Chancellor Merkel was interested in Facebook.

When seated with Mark Zuckerberg, Frau Merkel wanted to know how the Facebook founder could help her restrict the free speech of Europeans, on Facebook and on other social media. Speaking to Zuckerberg at a UN summit last September (and not aware that the microphones were picking her up) she asked what could be done to restrict people writing things on Facebook which were critical of her migration policy. 'Are you working on this?' she asked him. 'Yeah', Zuckerberg replied.

In the months that followed, we learned that this was not idle chatter over lunch. In January of this year, Facebook launched its 'Initiative for civil courage online', committing a million Euros to fund non-governmental organisations in its work to counter 'racist' and 'xenophobic' posts online. It also promised to remove 'hate speech' and expressions of 'xenophobia' from the Facebook website.

It was clear from the outset that Facebook has a definitional problem as well as a political bias in deciding on these targets. What is Facebook's definition of 'racism'? What is its definition of 'xenophobia'? What, come to that, is its definition of 'hate speech'? As for the political bias, why had Facebook not previously considered how, for instance, to stifle expressions of open-borders sentiments on Facebook? There are many people in Europe who have argued that the world should have no borders and that Europe in particular should be able to be lived in by anyone who so wishes. Why have people expressing such views on Facebook (and there are many) not found their views censored and their posts removed? Are such views not 'extreme'?

One problem with this whole area -- and a problem which has clearly not occurred to Facebook -- is that these are questions which do not even have the same answer from country to country. Any informed thinker on politics knows that there are laws that apply in some countries that do not -- and often should not -- apply in others. Contrary to the views of many transnational 'progressives', the world does not have one set of universal laws and certainly does not have universal customs. Hate-speech laws are to a very great extent an enforcement of the realm of customs.

As such it is unwise to enforce policies on one country from another country without at least a very deep understanding of that country's traditions and laws. Societies have their own histories and their own attitudes towards their most sensitive matters. For instance in Germany, France, the Netherlands and some other European countries there are laws on the statute books relating to the publication of Nazi materials and the propagation of material praising (or even representing) Adolf Hitler or denying the

Holocaust. The German laws forbidding large-scale photographic representations of Hitler may look ridiculous from London, but may look less ridiculous from Berlin. Certainly it would take an enormously self-confident Londoner unilaterally to prescribe a policy to change this German law.

To understand things which are forbidden, or able to be forbidden, in a society, you would have to have an enormous confidence in your understanding of that country's taboos and history, as well as its speech codes and speech laws. A ban on the veneration of communist idols, for instance, may seem sensible, tasteful or even desirable in one of the many countries which suffered under communism, wish to minimise the suffering of the victims and prevent the resurrection of such an ideology. Yet a universal ban on images or texts which extolled the communist murderers of tens of millions of people would also make criminals of the thousands of Westerners -- notably Americans -- who enjoy wearing Che Guevara T-shirts or continue their adolescent fantasy that Fidel Castro is an icon of freedom. Free societies generally have to permit the widest possible array of opinion. But they will have different ideas of where legitimate expression ends and where incitement begins.

So for Facebook and others to draw up their own attempt at a unilateral policy of what constitutes hate-speech would be presumptuous even if it were not -- as it is -- clearly politically biased from the outset. So it is especially lamentable that this movement to an enforced hate-speech code gained additional force on May 31, when the European Union announced a new online speech code to be enforced by four major tech companies, including Facebook and YouTube. Of course, the EU is a government -- and an unelected government at that -- so its desire not just to avoid replying to its critics -- but to criminalise their views and ban their contrary expressions -- is as bad as the government of any country banning or criminalising the expression of opinion which is not adulatory of the government.

That these are not abstract issues but ones exceedingly close to home has been proven – as though it needed proving – by the decision of Facebook to suspend the account of Gatestone's Swedish expert, Ingrid Carlqvist. In the last year Sweden took in between 1 and 2% additional people to its population. Similar numbers are expected this year. As anyone who has studied the situation will know, this is a society heading towards a breakdown of its own creation, caused (at the most benign interpretation) by its own 'open-hearted' liberalism.

Countries with welfare models such as Sweden's cannot take in such numbers of people without major financial challenges. And societies with a poor integration history cannot possibly integrate such vast numbers of people when they come at such speed. As anyone who has travelled around there can tell, Sweden is a country under enormous and growing strain.

There is a phase in waking up to such change which constitutes denial. The EU, the Swedish government and a vast majority of the Swedish press have no desire to hear critiques of a policy which they have created or applauded; the consequences will one day be laid at their door and they wish to postpone that day, even indefinitely. So instead of tackling the fire they started, they have decided to attack those who are pointing to the fact that they have set the building they are standing in on fire. In such a situation it becomes not just a right but a duty of free people to point out facts even if other people might not want to hear them. Only a country sliding towards autocracy and chaos, with a governing class intent on avoiding blame, could possibly allow the silencing of the few people pointing out what they can clearly see in front of them.

People must speak up -- and speak up now, and speak up fast -- in support of freedom of speech before it is taken away from them, and in support of journalists such as Carlqvist, and against the authorities who would silence all of us. It is, sadly, not an overstatement to say that our entire future depends on it.

Stand Up for Islam with Bernard Hamza

Ken Livingstone found alive and well in 1940's Germany



Following numerous Adolf Hitler rants, Ken Livingstone was thought to have been gagged and locked in the Labour Party Headquarters' dungeons. However, Vive Charlie's time travelling reporter, Martyn McFly, has made a confirmed sighting of Ken Livingstone in 1940's Germany.

We had sent Martyn to investigate claims by the Looney Left that Donald Trump was literally Hitler. Martyn's assignment was to see if Hitler regularly used the word "Bigly" as the one known person in the world to use this word is Donald Trump.

In the photo above, Ken Livingstone can be clearly identified. When asked why he was wearing a suicide vest he confirmed "as Hitler is a Zionist, and I'm a Palestinian at heart, I feels it's my duty to wipe him out".

We also picked up a man in the photo who has a striking resemblance to leader of the Labour Party, Jeremy Corbyn, further fuelling the rumours he is in fact an immortal. Rumours first surfaced of his immortality after it was revealed he had a steamy love affair with Dianne Abbott, something no mere mortal would ever contemplate.

Enemy of the State - Introduction

By Tommy Robinson

Former Leader of the English Defence League, Author & Activist

@TRobinsonNewEra tommyrobinson.co.uk

I was hoping to shout out loud 'three cheers for Tommy Robinson' on the morning of Thursday July 23rd, 2015. I say Tommy Robinson. It's sometimes Stephen Lennon in the media, or occasionally a long-ago name, Stephen Yaxley. Whatever. It was going to be three cheers for me, the founder and former leader, now officially retired, of the English Defence League.

It had been a dramatic, often wild six years, of street battles and police stitch-ups, of fearing for my family's lives and resisting attempts by Scotland Yard to recruit me as some kind of undercover snitch. Six years of mad laughs with the lads, but also of long weeks and months in solitary confinement. Six years of having my life turned upside down and inside out by the state – all for being a British patriot. For trying to wake my fellow countrymen and women up to the dangers of radical Islam.

And as of Thursday July 23rd I would be a free man. I would be able to take my family on holiday, to speak on a public platform without having my collar felt, to go about my business like any other citizen of the United Kingdom.

The licence period of an 18-month prison sentence for lending my brother-in-law the deposit on his first house – yes, really – was due to end on Wednesday July 22nd. Finally I could say without fear of being handcuffed again, 'Luton and Bedfordshire Probation Service, Scotland Yard and the entire British constabulary – kiss my arse'.

I could have shouted as loud as I liked, but no one would have heard. Not while I was locked in yet another concrete box of a solitary confinement cell in the bowels of HMP Peterborough, waiting for someone to tell me what the hell I'd been jailed for this time. Just days before being a free man at last, and thrown in a cell once again on the whim of some sadistic so-and-so just trying to squeeze one more ounce of misery out of me, while they still could.

Even the screws in Peterborough nick could hardly believe it – that someone would get recalled to prison just a week or so before their licence was up. I was in solitary confinement, yet again, because despite all of my warnings to the screws, including a note to the prison governor not to do it, they put me on an open wing, two cells away from a Muslim murderer doing 28 years.

He promptly put a massive bounty on my head and after I gave a Somali prisoner a slapping – I was told he'd taken the contract to attack me with boiling water – I finally got my wish, the safety and sanctuary of being in solitary. It was only a few days until I was free of the system. I could handle that.

But the day and night of July 22nd came and went, with no explanation. They kept me locked up another 48 hours. It was probably because 'they' (whoever is watching, and believe me 'they' are) knew that I was due to be making a public appearance at the House of Lords on the 23rd. 'They' were desperate to keep disrupting my life for as long as they possibly could.

So for all of you history buffs who celebrated 800 years of the signing of Magna Carta this summer – be my guest and shove your Habeas Corpus up your deluded liberal backsides. There isn't much 'justice' in the British justice system that I've come to know and hate.

Indeed, for someone who has bent over backwards to avoid trouble in recent months and years, I've spent more time in English prisons than some of the Great Train Robbers. If this book-writing gig catches on, my next effort might be a guided tour to the many and varied institutions of HM's prison service.

Still, better late than never. I'm out. No more weekly sermons from the Marxist matron at the probation office, no more messing with mine and my family's lives just for the hell of it. I'm done

Tommy Robinson
Enemy of the State
Available at
amazon

with bowing and scraping to busybody do-gooders, to looking over my shoulder for the police every time I tweet something mildly offensive to a Home Counties communist.

I'm free of the English Defence League and, mostly, I'm finally free to make my own choices. With my track record, I suspect that will include making plenty more mistakes. I just hope that whatever they are, they don't involve hearing the clanging door of a jail cell behind me. I've had enough of them – fairly or unfairly – for a lifetime.

Don't worry, you're not going to need a box of Kleenex at your side as you read this. It really isn't Tommy-does-tearjerking. It's not a sob story. The trouble I've found myself in has been at times clearly self-inflicted. But only at times. You might just learn something about the workings of a British police state that I doubt you believe exists.

My over-arching crime, at least in the eyes of the British establishment, has been to be a patriot. I love my country. I think that St George's Day, April 23rd, should be a public holiday. I resent the fact that people who hate the country they call 'home' are pampered and protected by a state that places their so-called rights above those of young men who risk and sacrifice their lives for British democracy.

Oh, and just to make things clear from the outset, I really, really don't care about the colour of those people's skin or the nature of their religion. I never have and I still don't. I'm sorry if that disappoints a few of you.

But here's something else I believe – that if you publicly declare war on me, my family and my country, it's only to be expected that some of us will offer resistance. Clearly I'm in the minority – or at least so it's seemed, since this 'life' of Tommy Robinson began in 2009.

My name will forever be connected with the English Defence League, I know that. But this isn't a book about the EDL, although it obviously plays a major part in it. Theirs is a different story, perhaps for another day. For now this is my story, my attempt to make sense of a life, and especially a recent past, that even I find barely believable.

None of it was planned. But despite everything that I've been through, I have no regrets, other than what my family has been put through – and that wasn't always of my doing. You'll notice that I don't name my wife and children in the book. That's deliberate. They still occasionally pay too heavy a price for having me as a father and husband.

Coming home from Peterborough nick, albeit a couple of days late, marked the end of an 18-month prison sentence, all because I lent my brother-in-law £20,000 for a deposit on a house. And he overstated his earnings on a self certification mortgage, which made it 'criminal'. No one lost a single penny, but having tried and failed on numerous counts to put me away, to silence me, the state finally did.

I would argue that they also tried to have me killed in the process, but that's for you to decide.

It had taken the police and the state long enough. It had taken them pretty much every day since a group of angry Luton residents shouted 'enough', having watched extremist Muslims being encouraged by the police to scream their hatred at the returning soldiers of the Royal Anglian Regiment in March 2009.

But they'd done their worst, I was still standing, and I was free to speak my mind. Finally.

ENEMY OF THE STATE
By Tommy Robinson
is available on Amazon

Available at
amazon

NICE
ALLAHU AKBAR!

ISLAM
THE RELIGION OF PEACE

Everyone has a limit to their tolerance, even Pope Francis

Pope Francis
@Pontifex

After what happened in France I am launching a new crusade to wipe out these Muslim fuckers once & for all.

#Normandy

Shortly after a priest was beheaded in Normandy, Pope Francis took to Twitter to share his thoughts. In an unexpected turn of events, and harking back to the good old days of Christian theocracy, the Pope took this opportunity to announce a new crusade against Muslims.

"After what happened in France I am launching a new crusade to wipe out these Muslim fuckers once and for all" he tweeted.

This had an immediate effect, with 1000s of far right Neo Nazis rushing to their local second hand stores to buy blue, gold and red striped pyjamas, tin foil hats and

making home-made pikes from tools in their garden sheds. Within a couple of hours of his tweet, there were large queues forming outside most churches, with the eager new recruits belting out verses of "Onward Christians Soldiers".

Does Islam Convert Social Losers into Time Bombs?

By Robert Spencer

Director of Jihad Watch, author of fifteen books, including
The Truth About Muhammad and The Politically Incorrect Guide to Islam

@jihadwatchRS jihadwatch.org

Is Islam good for individuals?

Is Islam good for societies?

It is almost universally assumed by elites that Islam is good, and that even to ask such questions is offensive. But there is good reason to raise them anyway.

Paris prosecutor Francois Molins said Monday that the Nice truck attacker Mohamed Lahouaiej Bouhlel "showed a certain recent interest for radical jihadist movements." French interior minister Bernard Cazeneuve likewise said that he "appears to have become radicalised very quickly." A neighbor of Bouhlel's wife noted: "Mohamed only started visiting a mosque in April."

Yet apparently contradicting all this was authorities' subsequent announcement that Bouhlel had planned his attack for months. Either authorities were caught flat-footed, which would be no surprise, and the neighbor was wrong or lying about Bouhlel's mosque attendance – or else Bouhlel underwent a serious change, growing more religious and beginning to attend mosque as he began plotting his jihad attack.

There is good reason to believe that's what happened. Evidence indicates that Bouhlel was highly promiscuous, with numerous liaisons with both women and men. While this has been trumpeted as evidence that Bouhlel was not a Muslim, it is really only evidence that he was a bad Muslim. If he really did not attend mosque, did not pray, did not observe Ramadan, drank alcohol, ate pork and took drugs, he may have been a bad Muslim who experienced an awakening and thought, I'm headed for hell. What great deed can I perform that will outweigh all my evil deeds?

And the answer to that question, of course, is jihad, the greatest of all Islamic deeds.

And the answer to that question, of course, is jihad, the greatest of all Islamic deeds.

A hadith (an accepted oral account of Muhammad's words and deeds) says Muhammad was asked what deed equals jihad in heavenly reward. He replied, "I do not find such a deed" (Bukhari 4.56.2785). And so Bouhlel rented the truck and waged his jihad in the hope of earning his religious redemption and the supposed 72 virgins.

There are numerous other examples of relatively secular Muslims becoming disastrously Islamic.

Gulchekhra Bobokulova, the Russian woman who last year beheaded a toddler and brandished the severed head outside a train station, had recently grown devout in her observance of Islam, and had started wearing the hijab. San Bernardino jihad murderer Tashfeen Malik, according to the Associated Press, several years ago "started dressing more conservatively, wearing a scarf that covered nearly all her face, and became more fervent in her Muslim faith, according to some who knew her in Pakistan."

These examples suggest a very unpleasant reality — that introducing Islam into free societies based on meritocracy and freedom is tantamount to throwing a lit match into a barrel of gasoline.

In Arab societies, losers are socially humiliated and also impose shame on their friends and family. Obvious Islamic piety and observance provides a partial redemption.

Muslim losers in the West, however, have an additional option — they can redeem themselves from personal and familial humiliation by an outburst of murderous piety, be it performed with a machete, truck, gun or bomb, against nearby non-Muslims.

These redemptive outbursts of jihad are theologically and socially 100 percent halal.

Islam in its classic, dominant and mainstream formulations encourages Muslims at all strata of society to impose the wrath of Allah against those who have violated one of the many, many rules imposed by the deity: “Fight them, and Allah will punish them by your hands” (Qur’an 9:14).

Winston Churchill, in a less cowardly, fantasy-besotted and authoritarian time than ours, declared that “individual Moslems may show splendid qualities, but the influence of the religion paralyzes the social development of those who follow it. No stronger retrograde force exists in the world.”

Islam was “as dangerous in a man as [rabies] in a dog,” he added.

Could Churchill have been right? Is Islam a retrograde force in the lives of individuals?

Several years ago while in Israel, I took a tour of the ancient city of Caesarea. Part of the tour consisted of maps projected onto the wall of the city as it appeared under the rule of the Roman Empire, the Byzantine Empire, the Arabs, the Crusaders, the Ottoman Turks, and the Israelis. The city was thriving in four of these epochs, but became a backwater and a ghost town in two: under the Arabs and the Turks, the only periods during which the city’s mixed populations were under the rule of Islamic law.

Was this just coincidence, or did Islam somehow cause the city’s decline?

Caesarea is not alone. We hear much nowadays about the glorious Golden Age of Islamic civilization, which was, we’re told, an early trailblazer of scientific invention and cultural/religious tolerance. This only begs the question, however, of why scientific invention and cultural/religious tolerance are found virtually nowhere in the Islamic world today. Could Islam itself have had something to do with their disappearance?

Barack Obama and all other Western leaders are unshakably committed to the proposition that Islam is at worst benign, and at best a positive benefit for society, with the problem of jihad terrorism having nothing to do with it.

A great deal is riding on the ruling elites’ assumption that Islam is benign. Immigration policies and law enforcement procedures in the U.S., Europe, and Australia — not to mention our war aims, strategy, and tactics in military conflicts where Americans are risking their lives — rely upon the truth of the core belief that Islam is beneficial for individuals and societies. Politicians from all over the political spectrum (including both Democrats and Republicans in the U.S.) clearly believe it would be political suicide to question this assumption.

But what if it’s civilizational suicide not to question it? What if the war on terror will remain unwinnable until our leaders see the human problem created when Islamic believers try to live amid modern secular meritocracy?

Any belief system can justly be criticized, and should be criticized when it has been shown to have negative effects. Could Islam simply be bad for people in modern societies?

The same questions are routinely asked about Buddhism, secularism, Christianity and other belief systems. Why not Islam as well? Why not evaluate the evidence of each religion’s ability to work in unstable societies filled with winners and losers?

Our continued existence as a free nation could depend on our investigating questions such as these – before it’s too late.



TIMMY NICE BUT DHIMMI

Tory MP Richard Benyon hands out jizz-crusted bread to the poor

Tory MP Richard Benyon has proudly stated that he hands out jizz-crusted bread to the poor as a way to help them whilst also having a good laugh at their expense.

Benyon, who stands to inherit £110 million from his family's estate, decided that something must be done about the rising use of food banks in the country. He decided to chip in by hand-baking dozens of loaves of bread every day, but not before he'd added wealthy seed to the dough.

'I'm just a man giving back to his community. I'm sure some will complain about the way I add a little of myself to every loaf, but that's where the fun lies for me. And I'm sure my batter is rich in nutrients as I only eat the finest foods, and a lot of them. Beggars can't be choosers,' said Benyon.

And the poor people of his Newbury constituency agree.

'I'm just so fucking hungry, I'll eat anything,' said Rachel Gibbs, a mother of two.

However those who can choose have blasted Benyon for handing out loaves to the poor.

'Can he not at least bake them cakes so the sweetness covers the bitterness of his man-jam?' said Edward Bobbins, a retired plumber.

'He could help so much more than dishing out a few loaves of jizz-crusted bread but he doesn't, he's a disgrace to humanity,' said Daniel Warder, whilst not helping anyone.

Theresa May has called Richard Benyon's 'kindness' a fine example to all Conservative MPs on how to treat the many people suffering from poverty across Britain.

'Let them eat spaff,' she said.

DO YOU VALUE FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION?

Then consider supporting Vive Charlie

Vive Charlie is free to all and is only possible through the generous support of our readers, who contribute to our running costs by making pledges via Patreon, donations via PayPal or buying merchandise in our online shop.

Vive Charlie is run by a small but dedicated team of volunteers who give their time freely in support of freedom of expression, which as we all know is under attack from all sides. Not only do lunatics machine-gun people to death for being offended, but now social media platforms like Facebook and Twitter are actively censoring and banning people for exercising their rights to freedom of expression. This makes Vive Charlie even more important going forward. To ensure our survival we need the support of all our readers and would ask you to consider pledging just via Patreon or donating via PayPal or purchasing t-shirts in our online shop.

Pledge via Patreon

Donate via PayPal

Buy Merchandise

Vive Charlie!

BACK ISSUES

Want to catch up on any of the previous 26 issues of Vive Charlie?

Simply click on the front cover of the issue you want to read






Issue 03
February 10th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
QURAN
SHARIA LAW


Issue 04
February 17th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
SLAIN
IN HIDING
CABU
RUSHDIE
TIGNOUS
NOERGAARD
VILKS
VAN GOG
CHARB
WESTERGAARD
HOISYKAFIR
ATTENTION
YOU ARE NOW LEAVING A


Issue 05
February 24th 2015
Vive Charlie!
RADICAL ISLAM
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
CHRISTIAN
JEWS
MUSLIM
WHAT EVER


Issue 06
March 3rd 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
6
Chicken
Lamb
Beef
BEWARE!
Halal is barbaric and funds terrorism
#Banhalal


Issue 07
March 10th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo


Issue 08
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
HEY BAT-BOOBS! CAN YOU TRY AND LOOK A LITTLE MORE DEFIANT? THE MISOGYNY-VICTIM-CHIC THING DOESN'T FIT WITH OUR FEMINIST IMAGE.


Issue 09
April 1st 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
DEAR WHITE GAY MEN: STOP APPROPRIATING BLACK WOMEN.
CROSS-DRESSING AND DRAG ARE BANNED DUE TO THE OFFENSE IT COULD CAUSE TRANS WOMEN.
PLEASE TRY NOT TO WHOOP! JAZZ HANDS WORK JUST AS WELL!
NUS CONFERENCE


Issue 10
April 14th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
GARISSA
GARISSA
ISLAM WOZ ERE


Issue 11
April 23rd 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
MOSUL
ISIS
SLAUGHTERHOUSE
SCHOOL BUS
1515


Issue 12
May 1st 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
PLEASE SIR, MAY I HAVE SOME MORE?
POLLING
VOTES
WILL SUCK 4VOTES


Issue 13
May 9th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo


Issue 14
May 20th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine
in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
FOR THOSE WE ARE ABOUT TO OFFEND...
...WE SALUTE YOU!


Issue 15
June 2nd 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo


Issue 16
June 18th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Weekly Online Satire Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
NO NAZIS ALLOWED
PRIDE IN LONDON
UKIP
UKIP


Issue 17
July 3rd 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
GREXIT


Issue 18
July 17th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo
NO, I DREW IT!
WHO DREW THIS?!
I DREW IT
IT WASN'T ME!


Issue 19
August 10th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine in Tribute to
Charlie Hebdo


Issue 20
August 31st 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression


Issue 21
October 5th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression

Issue 22
December 15th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression
#LoneWolf
#FORSYRIA
#YouAin'tNoMuslimBruv
#bloodonCAMERONShands
PLEASE...
Help me...
#BLACKlivesMATTER
#Stella2blame


Issue 23
December 28th 2015
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine
Supporting Freedom
Of Expression
XMAS
2020
BANNED


Issue 24
January 27th 2016
Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression
HAPPY
1st
BIRTHDAY!
Vive Charlie!


Issue 25
February 29th 2016
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression
Nanny Jihadeee
BEHAVE OR BEWARE

Issue 26
April 26th 2016
Vive Charlie!
Online Satirical Magazine Supporting Freedom Of Expression

Safe Space